



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/263,801	03/06/1999		LAWRENCE A. FISH	SGUS0007	2251
7	590	07/31/2002			
Nath & Assoc	iates		EXAMINER		
Sixth Floor 1030 Fifteenth				LONSBERRY, HUNTER B  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER  2611	
Washington, D	C 2000:	5			
			·		
				DATE MAILED: 07/31/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

HR

	Application No.	Applicant(s	)				
• ' '	09/263,801	FISH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hunter B. Lonsbe						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>	ce address				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed  num of thirty (30) days will be considere IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	f this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
, <u> </u>	— · is action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>31-36, 42, 43 and 48</u> is/are objected	to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirer	nent.					
Application Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 1999</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		•					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		•	Adminier.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n nriority under 35	U.S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	. p	2.2.2.3 (a) (a) (b) (c)					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ved.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents ha	ve been received in this Nat					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6) 6	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pa Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other:	-				
0.00							

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,205,473-B1 to Thomasson.

Regarding claim 1, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data, with a media server (NOC 114), a one way broadcast system (satellite 112) connected to the media server and an affiliate computer (server 103), a two way connection to the media server (Internet 109) and an affiliate computer (server 103), a plurality of receivers 101 is connected via LAN 102 to server 103, the push pull media server 114 sends data via the satellite link to server 103 which in turn sends it to receivers 101 (column 4, lines 9-53), server 103 may download data from NOC 114 (column 4, lines 25-33) or a receiver may request it via server 103 (column 4, lines 25-33). Thomasson inherently utilizes a confirmation and delivery application as Thomasson discloses utilizing the TCP/IP protocol for data sent over the Internet and the satellite link (column 5, line 17-column 6, line 9). TCP requires that an acknowledgement, called an ACK, be received from the destination machine upon successfully receiving the data. If the appropriate ACK is not received within a certain time limit, the packet is retransmitted. Thomasson does not disclose a number of

Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

affiliate computers, but does disclose downloading web pages from the media server (column 4, lines 25-33). The examiner takes official notice that a number of headends located remotely from a media server may be connected to the internet and have a satellite link to provide programming to users, and that the use of the internet to send digital audio and video is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to include a number of affiliate (headend) systems which receive digital audio and video programming via the internet as to reduce the load placed on an individual server and provide more entertainment options for a user.

Regarding claim 2, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data, with a media server (NOC 114), a one way broadcast system (satellite 112) connected to the media server and an affiliate computer (server 103), a two way connection to the media server (Internet 109) and an affiliate computer (server 103), a plurality of receivers 101 is connected via LAN 102 to server 103, the push pull media server 114 sends data via the satellite link to server 103 which in turn sends it to receivers 101 (column 4, lines 9-53), server 103 may download data from NOC 114 (column 4, lines 25-33) or a receiver may request it via server 103 (column 4, lines 25-33). Thomasson does not disclose pulling digital audio or video information from the media server or a number of affiliate computers, but does disclose downloading web pages from the media server (column 4, lines 25-33). The examiner takes official notice that a number of headends located remotely from a media server may be connected to the internet and have a satellite link to provide programming to users, and that the use

Art Unit: 2611

of the internet to send digital audio and video is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to include a number of affiliate (headend) systems which receive digital audio and video programming via the internet as to reduce the load placed on an individual server and provide more entertainment options for a user.

Regarding claim 3, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data, with a media server (NOC 114), a one way broadcast system (satellite 112) connected to the media server and an affiliate computer (server 103), a two way connection to the media server (Internet 109) and an affiliate computer (server 103), a plurality of receivers 101 is connected via LAN 102 to server 103, the push pull media server 114 sends data via the satellite link to server 103 which in turn sends it to receivers 101 (column 4, lines 9-53), server 103 may download data from NOC 114 (column 4, lines 25-33) or a receiver may request it via server 103 (column 4, lines 25-33). Thomasson does not disclose pulling digital audio or video information from the media server or a number of affiliate computers, but does disclose downloading web pages from the media server (column 4, lines 25-33). The examiner takes official notice that a number of headends located remotely from a media server may be connected to the internet and have a satellite link to provide programming to users, and that the use of the internet to send digital audio and video is well known in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to include a number of affiliate (headend) systems which receive digital

Art Unit: 2611

audio and video programming via the internet as to reduce the load placed on an individual server and provide more entertainment options for a user.

Regarding claim 4, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data, with a media server (NOC 114), a one way broadcast system (satellite 112) connected to the media server and an affiliate computer (server 103), a two way connection to the media server (Internet 109) and an affiliate computer (server 103), a plurality of receivers 101 is connected via LAN 102 to server 103, the push pull media server 114 sends data via the satellite link to server 103 which in turn sends it to receivers 101 (column 4, lines 9-53), server 103 may download data from NOC 114 (column 4, lines 25-33) or a receiver may request it via server 103 (column 4, lines 25-33). Thomasson does not disclose pulling digital audio information from the media server, a number of affiliate computers, or the use of one way broadcast receivers but does disclose downloading web pages from the media server (column 4, lines 25-33). The examiner takes official notice that a number of headends located remotely from a media server may be connected to the internet and have a satellite link to provide programming to users, that one way receivers which do not communicate back to a headend device and that the use of the internet to send digital audio are well known in the art (such as cable channels which carry radio programming), Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to include a number of affiliate (headend) systems which receive digital audio programming via the internet and transmit it to a one way receiver as to reduce the load

Art Unit: 2611

placed on an individual server, provide low cost receivers to a user and provide more entertainment options for a user.

Regarding claims 5-7, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1 a one-way satellite link (column 4, lines 18-25).

Regarding claims 8-12, Thomasson discloses that the LAN uses Ethernet protocol (column 5, line 17-column 6, line 9) for the transmission of data to and from the receivers. Broadcast receivers 101 inherently include an Ethernet port since Thomasson discloses that information may be transmitted in Ethernet.

Claims 21-30 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6205473-B1 to Thomasson in view of U.S. Patent 6,115,040 to Bladow.

Regarding claim 21, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data, with a media server (NOC 114), a one way broadcast system (satellite 112) connected to the media server and an affiliate computer (server 103), a two way connection to the media server (Internet 109) and an affiliate computer (server 103), a plurality of receivers 101 is connected via LAN 102 to server 103, the push pull media server 114 sends data via the satellite link to server 103 which in turn sends it to receivers 101 (column 4, lines 9-53), server 103 may download data from NOC 114 (column 4, lines 25-33) or a receiver may request it via server 103 (column 4, lines 25-33). Thomasson does not disclose pulling digital audio or video information from the media server or a number of affiliate computers or a plurality of production computer servers, but does disclose downloading web pages from the media server (column 4,

lines 25-33). The examiner takes official notice that a number of headends located remotely from a media server may be connected to the internet and have a satellite link to provide programming to users, and that the use of the internet to send digital audio and video is well known in the art. Bladow discloses in Figure 2, a number of web servers 24 which are connected to the Internet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to include a number of affiliate (headend) systems which receive digital audio and video programming via the internet and to connect to a plurality of web servers as to reduce the load placed on an individual server and provide more entertainment options for a user.

Regarding claims 22 and 23, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1 a one-way high bandwidth satellite link (column 4, lines 18-25).

Regarding claims 24-30, Thomasson discloses in Figure 1, a system for transmitting data via the Internet and a satellite link. Thomasson does not disclose a web based monitoring application for determining status information. Bladow discloses in Figure 4, a web based monitoring system that monitors traffic, server status and specific transactions between devices, for servers located remotely (column 8, line46-column 9, line 14, column 10, line 42-column 1, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Thomasson to include the monitoring capabilities of Bladow to increase the uptime of the network and allow an administrator to solve problems on the network.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claims 37-41, Thomasson discloses that the LAN uses Ethernet protocol (column 5, line 17-column 6, line 9) for the transmission of data to and from the receivers. Broadcast receivers 101 inherently include an Ethernet port since Thomasson discloses that information may be transmitted in Ethernet.

Claims 13-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6205473-B1 to Thomasson in view of U.S. Patent 6,385,647 to Willis.

Regarding claims 13-20, Thomasson discloses that the LAN uses Ethernet protocol (column 5, line 17-column 6, line 9). Broadcast receivers 101 inherently include an Ethernet port since Thomasson discloses that information may be transmitted in Ethernet. Thomasson does not disclose the use of IP multicasting, but does disclose that the network is TCP/IP compatible (column 5, lines 60-65). Willis discloses a network utilizing IGMP protocol for transmitting unidirectional data to a number of receivers (Figures 2-4, column 10, line 40-column 11, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to use IGMP as taught by Willis, to increase the amount of available bandwidth in the network as the same data is broadcast to a number of receivers simultaneously.

Claims 44-47, 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6205473-B1 to Thomasson in view of U.S. Patent 6,115,040 to Bladow in further view of U.S. Patent 6,385,647 to Willis.

Regarding claims 44-47, 49 and 50, Thomasson discloses that the LAN uses Ethernet protocol (column 5, line 17-column 6, line 9). Broadcast receivers 101 inherently include an Ethernet port since Thomasson discloses that information may be transmitted in Ethernet. Thomasson and Bladow do not disclose the use of IP multicasting, but do disclose that the network is TCP/IP compatible (Thomasson: column 5, lines 60-65). Willis discloses a network utilizing IGMP protocol for transmitting unidirectional data to a number of receivers (Figures 2-4, column 10, line 40-column 11, line 49). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to modify Thomasson to use IGMP as taught by Willis, to increase the amount of available bandwidth in the network as the same data is broadcast to a number of receivers simultaneously.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-36, 42, 43 and 48 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hunter B. Lonsberry whose telephone number is 703-305-3234. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday during normal business hours.

Art Unit: 2611

Page 10

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on 703-305-4380. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-5359 for regular communications and 703-372-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

HBL July 26, 2002

Bhavesh Mehta Primary Examiner